IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 412 of 1995

Between:

Smt. K. Ramadevi W/o.K.Venkateswara Kumar
Plot.No.62, CA II S.B.H. Colony
Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. Smt.P.C.Kamal, M/s.Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India Ltd Scindia House, 3rd Floor, N.M.Marg Ballard Estate,Bombay.
2. The Manager, C/o.A.P. Industrial & Technical Consultancy Organisation Ltd Parisharama Bhavanam, 8th Floor, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order direction or writ more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.1 after receiving the Indemnity Bond duly executed on Non Judicial stamp paper of Rs.100 for issuance of duplicate share Certificates to the petitioners original share certificate Nos.501722 and 501723 which was lost by her by not issuing the duplicate share certificates to the petitioner is illegal and arbitrary and consequently- 1) direct the 1st respondent to issue Duplicate share Certificates for the lost Original Certificate Nos.501722 and 501723 for which they received the Indemnity Bond. 2) direct the

1st respondent to stop transfer of the said share Certificate Nos.501722 and 501723 till they issue the Duplicate share Certificates and grant such other order or orders

Counsel for the Petitioner: MS.T.H.SOWBHAGYA LAXMI
(Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.R.VIJAYNADAN REDDY

The Court Made the Following ORDER:

This matter was initially listed yesterday i.e. 23-4-2004 high up in the list. It is an old matter and the list was circulated to the learned counsel well in advance. In spite of the same, when the matter was called yesterday, the learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, this court, so as to give a last chance directed the office to list the case under the caption “FOR DISMISSAL”. Today the matter is appearing under the caption “FOR DISMISSAL”. In spite of the same none appears and the learned counsel for the petitioner is absent.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default/non-prosecution.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The Manager, C/o.A.P. Industrial & Technical Consultancy Organisation Ltd Parisharama Bhavanam, 8th Floor, Basheerbagh, Hyderabad
2. 2 CD copies.

Js